

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ARENDI S.A.R.L., :
: :
Plaintiff, : :
: : C.A. No. _____
v. : :
: : **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**
YAHOO! INC. : :
: :
Defendant. : :

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L. (“Arendi”) for its Complaint against Defendant hereby demands a jury trial and alleges as follows:

Related Actions

1. This case is related to two cases previously consolidated before Judge Stark, 09-cv-00119 (D. Del.) (LPS) and 11-cv-00260 (D. Del.) (LPS), both of which settled after extensive dispositive motion practice. This case is also related to the action filed by Arendi today against Google Inc., and the actions filed on November 29, 2012 in this District by Arendi, No. 12-1595 (LPS), No. 12-1596 (LPS), No. 12-1597 (LPS), No. 12-1598 (LPS), No. 12-1599 (LPS), No. 12-1600 (LPS), No. 12-1601 (LPS), No. 12-1602 (LPS), which involve the same patents-in-suit and common facts.

The Parties and Accused Products

2. Plaintiff Arendi is a corporation organized under the laws of Luxembourg with its principal place of business in Luxembourg.

3. On information and belief, Yahoo! Inc. (“Yahoo”) is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 701 First Avenue,

Sunnyvale, California 94089. Yahoo may be served in Delaware through its registered agent for service of process, The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

4. Yahoo transacts substantial business, either directly or through its agents, on an ongoing basis in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

5. Yahoo makes, uses, imports, offers to sell, and sells (and/or has made, used, imported, offered to sell, and sold) in the United States and in this judicial district, Yahoo!Mail, Yahoo! News and other products containing the same or similar information handling technology disclosed in the asserted patents described herein (collectively, the “Accused Products”).

Nature of the Action

6. This is a civil action for infringement of United States Patents No. 7,917,843 (the “843 Patent”) and No. 7,496,854 (the “854 Patent”). This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*

Jurisdiction and Venue

7. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

8. Personal jurisdiction is proper in this district because, among other reasons, Yahoo has a continuous presence in this District, Yahoo committed acts of infringement in this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this District. Yahoo placed, and continues to place, Accused Products into the stream of commerce, through an established distribution channel, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such products are used and sold in this District. This causes injury to Arendi in this District. On information and belief, Defendant derives substantial revenue from the sale of Accused Products

distributed within the District, and derives substantial revenue from interstate and international commerce.

9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b), because Yahoo committed acts of infringement in this district and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim occurred in this District.

The Patents-In-Suit

10. United States Patent No. 7,917,843, entitled “Method, System and Computer Readable Medium for Addressing Handling from a Computer Program,” was duly and legally issued on March 29, 2011, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the ‘843 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. United States Patent No. 7,496,854, entitled “Method, System and Computer Readable Medium for Addressing Handling from a Computer Program,” was duly and legally issued on February 24, 2009, by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the ‘854 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

12. Arendi is the exclusive owner of all rights, title, and interest in the ‘843 Patent and ‘854 Patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

13. The ‘843 Patent and ‘854 Patent are valid and enforceable.

BACKGROUND

14. Arendi filed suit in this District against Microsoft Corporation and Dell Inc. for infringement of the ‘854 Patent on February 24, 2009. 09-cv-00119 (D. Del.) (LPS). The case was assigned to the Honorable Leonard P. Stark, who held two Markman Hearings, on February 25, 2011 and November 21, 2011, and denied several summary judgment motions. The case was resolved by settlement and dismissed on November 29, 2011.

15. Arendi filed suit in this District against Microsoft Corporation for infringement of the ‘843 Patent on March 29, 2011. 11-cv-00260 (D. Del.) (LPS). The case was assigned to the Honorable Leonard P. Stark, who consolidated the 11-cv-260 case with the above-referenced case on May 10, 2011. After Judge Stark held a Markman Hearing on November 21, 2011, this case was also resolved by settlement and dismissed on November 29, 2011.

COUNT I

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,917,843)

16. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if stated fully herein.

17. Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe the ‘843 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, including but not limited to claim 1, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Accused Products, without authorization. On information and belief, Yahoo has also infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 2, 3, 4, 6, 11, 12, 13, 15, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 33, 34, 35, and 37 of the ‘843 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Accused Products, without authorization.

18. Yahoo’s acts of infringement have caused damage to Arendi and Arendi is entitled to recover from Yahoo the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendant’s wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Yahoo’s infringement of Arendi’s exclusive rights under the ‘843 patent have damaged, and continue to damage, Arendi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless the infringement is enjoined by this Court.

19. In addition to the foregoing and/or in the alternative, third parties, including Yahoo’s customers, have infringed, and continue to infringe, one or more claims of the ‘843

patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Accused Products.

20. Yahoo has had knowledge of and notice of the '843 patent and its infringement at least since the filing of this complaint.

21. To the extent Yahoo continues to sell or otherwise supply Accused Products after the filing of this complaint, Yahoo is inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '843 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), including on information and belief at least the claims set forth above. Yahoo is actively, knowingly, and intentionally inducing infringement of the '843 patent by practicing the methods set forth therein and by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products after the filing of this complaint; with the knowledge and specific intent that third parties, including its customers, will continue to – either alone or in combination with Yahoo – practice the patented methods, and use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import the Accused Products supplied by Yahoo to infringe the '843 patent; and with the knowledge and specific intent to encourage and facilitate the infringement through the dissemination of the Accused Products and/or the creation and dissemination of promotional and marketing materials, supporting materials, instructions, product manuals, and/or technical information relating to the Accused Products and infringing uses thereof.

22. Yahoo's acts of induced infringement have caused damage to Arendi and Arendi is entitled to recover from Yahoo the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Yahoo's induced infringement of Arendi's exclusive rights under the '843 patent have damaged, and continue to damage, Arendi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless the infringement is enjoined by this Court.

23. In addition to the foregoing and/or in the alternative, at least since the filing of this complaint, Yahoo has knowingly contributed to the infringement of one or more claims of the '843 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

24. To the extent Yahoo continues to sell or otherwise supply Accused Products after the filing of this complaint, Yahoo is contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the '843 patent by third parties, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), including on information and belief at least the claims set forth above. Yahoo is actively, knowingly, and intentionally contributing to the infringement of the '843 patent by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States Accused Products after the filing of this complaint; with the knowledge that the Accused Products are especially designed or adapted to operate in a manner that infringes the '843 Patent; with the knowledge that third parties, including its customers, will continue to – either alone or in combination with Yahoo – practice the patented methods; and with the knowledge that the infringing information handling technology in the Accused Products is not a staple article of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

25. Yahoo's acts of contributory infringement have caused damage to Arendi and Arendi is entitled to recover from Yahoo the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Yahoo's contributory infringement of Arendi's exclusive rights under the '843 patent have damaged, and continue to damage, Arendi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless the infringement is enjoined by this Court.

COUNT II

(Infringement of United States Patent No. 7,496,854)

26. The preceding paragraphs are incorporated by reference as if stated fully herein.

27. Yahoo has infringed and continues to infringe the ‘854 Patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, including but not limited to claims 19 and 57, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Accused Products, without authorization. On information and belief, Yahoo has also infringed and continues to infringe at least claims 20, 25, 26, 31, 32, 58, 72, 73, 74, 79, and 80 of the ‘854 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Accused Products, without authorization.

28. Yahoo’s acts of infringement have caused damage to Arendi and Arendi is entitled to recover from Yahoo the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendant’s wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Yahoo’s infringement of Arendi’s exclusive rights under the ‘854 patent have damaged, and continue to damage, Arendi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless the infringement is enjoined by this Court.

29. In addition to the foregoing and/or in the alternative, third parties, including Yahoo’s customers, have infringed, and continue to infringe, one or more claims of the ‘854 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States, and/or importing into the United States, Accused Products.

30. Yahoo has had knowledge of and notice of the ‘854 patent and its infringement at least since the filing of this complaint.

31. To the extent Yahoo continues to sell or otherwise supply Accused Products after the filing of this complaint, Yahoo is inducing infringement of one or more claims of the ‘854 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271, including on information and belief at least the claims set forth above. Yahoo is actively, knowingly, and intentionally inducing infringement of the ‘854 patent

by practicing the methods set forth therein and by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States Accused Products after the filing of this complaint; with the knowledge and specific intent that third parties, including its customers, will continue to – either alone or in combination with Yahoo – practice the patented methods, and use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import the Accused Products supplied by Yahoo to infringe the ‘854 patent; and with the knowledge and specific intent to encourage and facilitate the infringement through the dissemination of the Accused Products and/or the creation and dissemination of promotional and marketing materials, supporting materials, instructions, product manuals, and/or technical information relating to the Accused Products and infringing uses thereof.

32. Yahoo’s acts of infringement have caused damage to Arendi and Arendi is entitled to recover from Yahoo the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendant’s wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Yahoo’s infringement of Arendi’s exclusive rights under the ‘854 patent have damaged, and continue to damage, Arendi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless the infringement is enjoined by this Court.

33. In addition to the foregoing and/or in the alternative, at least since the filing of this complaint, Yahoo has knowingly contributed to the infringement of one or more claims of the ‘854 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

34. To the extent Yahoo continues to sell or otherwise supply Accused Products after the filing of this complaint, Yahoo is contributing to the infringement of one or more claims of the ‘854 patent by third parties, under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c), including on information and belief at least the claims set forth above. Yahoo is actively, knowingly, and intentionally contributing to the infringement of the ‘854 patent by selling, offering to sell and/or importing into the United States Accused Products after the filing of this complaint; with the knowledge that the Accused Products are especially designed or adapted to operate in a manner that infringes the ‘854 Patent;

with the knowledge that third parties, including its customers, will continue to – either alone or in combination with Yahoo – practice the patented methods; and with the knowledge that the infringing information handling technology in the Accused Products is not a staple article of commerce suitable for substantial noninfringing use.

35. Yahoo's acts of infringement have caused damage to Arendi and Arendi is entitled to recover from Yahoo the damages it has sustained as a result of Defendant's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial. Yahoo's infringement of Arendi's exclusive rights under the '854 patent have damaged, and continue to damage, Arendi, causing irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless the infringement is enjoined by this Court.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Arendi prays for judgment as follows:

- A. Declaring that Yahoo has infringed the '843 and '854 Patents;
- B. Awarding the damages arising out of Yahoo's infringement of the '843 and '854 Patents, including enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284, to Arendi, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest, in an amount according to proof and no less than a reasonable royalty;
- C. Permanently enjoining Yahoo, its officers, agents, and employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, and its successors and assigns, from infringement, inducement of infringement, and contributory infringement of the '843 and '854 Patents, including but not limited to making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale within the United States, and/or importing in the United States, any devices, products, software, or methods that infringe the '843 and '854 Patents before the expiration of these patents;
- D. Awarding attorney's fees to Arendi pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by law;

E. Awarding such other costs and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Arendi hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PROCTOR HEYMAN LLP

/s/ Neal C. Belgam _____

Neal C. Belgam (# 2721)

nbelgam@proctorheyman.com

Melissa N. Donimirski (# 4701)

mdonimirski@proctorheyman.com

Dawn Kurtz Crompton (# 5579)

dcrompton@proctorheyman.com

300 Delaware Avenue, Suite 200

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 472-7300

Attorneys for Plaintiff Arendi S.A.R.L.

OF COUNSEL:

SUSMAN GODFREY, L.L.P.

Stephen D. Susman

ssusman@susmangodfrey.com

Seth D. Ard

sard@susmangodfrey.com

560 Lexington Avenue, 15th Floor

New York, NY 10022

(212) 336-8330

SUSMAN GODFREY, L.L.P.

Harry P. Susman
hsusman@susmangodfrey.com
Lexie G. White
lwhite@susmangodfrey.com
1000 Louisanna Street, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002
212-651-9366

Dated: May 22, 2013